# Radio constraints on the volume filling factors of AGN winds

# **Alexander Blustin**

STFC Postdoctoral Fellow Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge

and Andy Fabian (IoA)

JENAM, University of Hertfordshire, 20/04/09



## **Observing AGN winds**

The winds are studied through X-ray and UV spectroscopy.

They contain gas at a range of velocities and ionisation levels.

Multiple origins: torus/NLR, accretion disc?







Artist's impression of ionised wind in nuclear region of a galaxy

ionised wind

# What role do AGN winds play in feedback?



How much mass and energy is carried by AGN winds?

What are their volume filling factors?



Probably small (see Blustin et al. 2005, A&A 431 111), but direct observational evidence lacking Need a new observational window: radio free-free (bremsstrahlung) emission from wind?

Well-known diagnostic of stellar winds e.g. Wright & Barlow 1975, Panagia & Felli 1975

Recently suggested for AGN: Blundell & Kuncic 2007

We have now applied this to winds in a group of nearby AGN

#### Stellar: uniform spherical wind

AGN wind: partially covering, clumpy



#### Stellar: uniform spherical wind

#### AGN wind: partially covering, clumpy



Radio flux density

S<sub>ν,4π</sub> . 4/3 X 4π

Wright & Barlow 1975 Panagia & Felli 1975

Mass outflow rate







predicted for a spherical uniform wind

What is the value of global covering factor  $\Omega$ ?

50% of nearby Seyferts have warm absorbers, so could be  $4\pi/2 = 2\pi$ 



BUT

if the winds are related to the (base of) the NLR, it has to be smaller than this:  $2\pi x$  (solid angle of torus hole=proportion of unobscured AGN=25%) = 1.6

# Calculating the radio flux density $S_{v,4\pi}$

Can we just integrate over bremstrahlung emission? i.e. optically thin?

No (τ >> 1).

Instead use modified expressions for optically-thick stellar wind emission (Wright & Barlow 1975):

 $S_{i} \sim \int [blackbody flux x bremsstrahlung absorption] dr$ 

# Full expression for $S_{v}$ :



## Mass outflow rate for a uniform wind:



(For non-outflowing ionised absorber, use substitution:

$$\frac{\mathsf{M}_{4\pi}}{\mu \,\mathsf{v}} = 4 \,\pi \,\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{p}} \,\frac{\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{ion}}}{\xi}$$

assuming n  $\propto$  r^2 and  $\xi$  is constant)

## The AGN sample

Requirements: point-like radio source in NVSS image (no host contamination)

Sufficiently well-spectroscopically-studied X-ray absorbing wind



Also MCG-6-30-15 (no NVSS image, but unresolved in VLA; Ulvestad & Wilson 1984)

Upper limits for volume filling factors

Assuming  $\Omega$  is in range 1.6 -  $2\pi$ :

C<sub>upper</sub> limit NGC 3516 0.0002 - 0.3IRAS 13349+2438 0.01 - 0.50.04 - 0.1MR 2251-178 0.006 - 0.02MCG-6-30-15 (none; predicted radio flux lower NGC 3783 than observed; host galaxy emission

(Not all phases predicted to produce sufficient flux to obtain an upper limit to C,)

from extended source?)

### Conclusion

When calculating the mass outflow rate of an AGN wind, we can't safely assume a volume filling factor of unity;

This will cause us to overestimate the wind's contribution to feedback.

For more details, see:

Blustin & Fabian 2009, MNRAS in press, arXiv/0904.0209