

University of
Hertfordshire

UH

parsec




Spectroscopic analysis of a large sample of L and T dwarfs

Federico Marocco

Hugh R. A. Jones (UH), David J. Pinfield (UH), Avril C. Day-Jones (UH), Ben Burningham (NASA/Ames), Phil W. Lucas (UH), Mariusz Gromadzki (UV), Neil Cook (UH), Radostin Kurtev (UV), Alexandre H. Andrei (ON), Richard L. Smart (OATo), ZengHua Zhang (IAC)



Introduction

The L and T spectral classes were introduced following the discovery of brown dwarfs, sub-stellar object with insufficient mass to trigger and sustain thermonuclear fusion of hydrogen in their cores.



Introduction


Sharp turn-around due to dust settling, CH_4 , and H_2 CIA.



Introduction



UCDs are a mixture of sub-stellar objects and very low-mass hydrogen-burning stars

Mass, luminosity and age are therefore “degenerate” parameters.



Introduction

The wide range of parameters covered is reflected by the NIR colours and spectra.



Introduction

Near-infrared spectroscopy is fundamental to characterize BDs. By analyzing the spectra of large samples of BDs, one can understand the atmospheric physics, i.e. the dust formation/settling process, the clouds dynamics, non-equilibrium chemistry.

My work consists of three parallel and complementary projects:

- The spectroscopic follow-up for the PARSEC program;
- The Birthrate program a.k.a. our attempt to constrain the sub-stellar IMF and formation history;
- The quest for outlier benchmark systems with *Gaia* primaries.

Introduction

Near-infrared spectroscopy is fundamental to characterize BDs. By analyzing the spectra of large samples of BDs, one can understand the atmospheric physics, i.e. the dust formation/settling process, the clouds dynamics, non-equilibrium chemistry.

My work consists of three parallel and complementary projects:

-The spectroscopic follow-up for the PARSEC program;

-The Birthrate program a.k.a. our attempt to constrain the sub-stellar IMF and formation history;

-The quest for outlier benchmark systems with *Gaia* primaries.


Large astrometric program to determine parallaxes and proper motions of ~140 L and early-T dwarfs in the southern hemisphere.

<http://parsec.oato.inaf.it>

Observations began in April 2007, first results are presented in Andrei et al. (2011, AJ) and Marocco et al. (2013, AJ).

PARSEC doubles the number of L and T dwarfs with parallaxes.

(Andrei et al. 2011, AJ, 141, 54)




(Marocco et al. 2013, AJ)

~50% of the targets did not have NIR spectra, so we started a spectroscopic follow-up campaign using SOAR/OSIRIS, VLT/Xshooter, and NTT/SOFI.

We obtained NIR spectra for 52 targets (45 with OSIRIS, 5 with Xshooter and 2 with SOFI). **21 of them have parallaxes.**


Combining spectroscopy and parallaxes we obtained L_{bol} and T_{eff} for our targets.



(Marocco et al. 2013, AJ)

We fit a new T_{eff} vs spectral type polynomial relation.

Change in the slope of the sequence at the M – L transition and at the L – T transition. Effect of dust formation/settling.



Uncertainties are too large and the sample is still too small to be able to say anything definitive. The full sample will allow us to put a stronger constraint on the relation.


(Marocco et al. 2013, AJ)

The kinematics of the sample provides useful insights on the nature of the targets.

We calculated U,V,W assuming $-100 \text{ km/s} < V_{\text{rad}} < +100 \text{ km/s}$



Two objects seem to belong to the thick disk population: 1331-0116 and 2346-5928.

And in fact, if we look at their spectra ...



... both objects are bluer than the spectroscopic standards, and show signs of metal depletion, i.e. stronger H₂O, Na I and K I absorption.


(Marocco et al. 2013, AJ)



The kinematics can also be used to check the possible membership of the targets to any of the young moving groups.

0032-4405 likely member of β Pic (93%, cf. Manjavacas et al. 2014, A&A).

The spectrum shows triangular H band!



Introduction

Near-infrared spectroscopy is fundamental to characterize BDs. By analyzing the spectra of large samples of BDs, one can understand the atmospheric physics, i.e. the dust formation/settling process, the clouds dynamics, non-equilibrium chemistry.

My work consists of three parallel and complementary projects:

- The spectroscopic follow-up for the PARSEC program;
- The Birthrate program a.k.a. our attempt to constrain the sub-stellar IMF and formation history;**
- The quest for outlier benchmark systems with *Gaia* primaries.

Constraining the IMF and formation history

Because of the mass-age-luminosity degeneracy, the luminosity function of BDs depends on the formation history. IMF and formation history of sub-stellar objects are poorly constrained.


Moreover, the formation process of BDs is still a matter of debate. Two different mechanisms (star-like and planet-like) could contribute to the current population of BDs.



Determining the luminosity function of BDs can help us understand the formation process of sub-stellar objects.

Constraining the IMF and formation history

Monte Carlo simulations show that the L-T transition regime is the most sensitive to the formation history.



Spectroscopic campaign to follow-up a sample of 250 L-T transition BD candidates, photometrically selected from UKIDSS LAS + SDSS.

(Day-Jones et al. 2013, MNRAS)

$$J \leq 18.1$$

$$Y-J \geq 0.8$$

$$z-J \geq 2.4 \text{ and } J-K \geq 1.0$$

OR



$$z-J \geq 2.9 \text{ and } J-K < 1.0$$

$$i-z > 2.0$$

$$i-J > 4.7$$

$$z-K > 3.5 \text{ and } J-K < 1.0$$

Follow-up of 196 candidates with VLT/Xshooter (Day-Jones et al. 2013, Marocco et al. 2015).



Constraining the IMF and formation history

To compare our sample to the simulations we need to:

- 1) Determine the T_{eff} of the targets, i.e. measure their spectral type and convert it to T_{eff} (Marocco et al. 2013);
- 2) Determine the volume sampled, i.e. estimate to spectro-photometric distance (Dupuy & Liu 2012);
- 3) Check the completeness of the sample;
- 4) Correct for binarity, Malmquist bias, etc...

Completeness

We checked the completeness of our photometric selection using a control sample.

We took all the known L and T dwarfs from dwarfarchives.org, and cross-matched with UKIDSS and SDSS to obtain *ugriz* and MKO YJHK photometry.


Then we applied our selection criteria to the control sample.

Applying our selection criteria we retrieve 88% in the L4-L6 range, 94% in the L7-T0, and 99% in the T1-T4 range.

Completeness

$J < 18.1$ is $\sim 12\sigma$ in ULAS, so **no missed detections** (completeness $> 99\%$).




Blending is not an issue (completeness $> 99\%$).






Correcting for binarity

Unresolved binaries can be identified using their spectral indices. The criteria are defined in Burgasser et al. (2010, ApJ).

(Day-Jones et al. 2013, MNRAS)





**We identified 24
binary candidates**



Correcting for binarity

The spectral types of the components can be determined via spectral deconvolution.

The results of the deconvolution are tested against the results of the templates fitting using an F-test.



16 of the 24 candidates passed this second selection. Need AO follow-up!


If confirmed, BF \sim 8%, but we need to account for incompleteness!

Correcting for binarity

We combined the spectral templates taken from the SpeX-Prism library to create a sample of synthetic unresolved binaries, that were then run through our binary identification pipeline, to assess the completeness of the selection method.

The technique is most efficient at the L/T transition, and the fraction of detected binaries steeply declines when moving towards very low mass ratios and early L-type binaries.

The completeness corrected BF is 14%.



Correcting for binarity

Our technique is only sensitive to non-equal spectral type binaries. We still need to correct for equal spectral type binaries.


To do that we follow Burgasser et al. 2003 (ApJ, 586, 512):

$$f_{excl} = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + \frac{1}{BF} - 1}$$

Where $\gamma = 2\sqrt{2}$ for equal spectral type binaries, and BF is the “true” binary fraction.

PROBLEM: BF is unconstrained. In the literature $5\% < BF < 45\%$




Results



Peculiar objects

(Zhang et al. in prep)




The wide wavelength coverage of Xshooter is ideal to identify peculiar objects, i.e. BDs that are bluer/redder than the spectroscopic standards.



ULAS J222711-004547

(Marocco et al. 2014, MNRAS)

One of the reddest BD known. Its colours (and spectrum) look very similar to those of gas giant exoplanets.



ULAS J222711-004547

Extinction curves for corundum or enstatite with typical grain sizes $r = 0.40\text{-}0.55 \mu\text{m}$ give extremely good results.




Excess of dust in the higher layers of the photosphere

Typical in young objects (e.g. Manjavacas et al .2014, A&A), but this object is **not young!**

Unusual metallicity?

ULAS 2227-0045 de-reddened with **corundum**: $r = 0.45 \mu\text{m}$



Introduction



Near-infrared spectroscopy is fundamental to characterize BDs. By analyzing the spectra of large samples of BDs, one can understand the atmospheric physics, i.e. the dust formation/settling process, the clouds dynamics, non-equilibrium chemistry.

My work consists of three parallel and complementary projects:

- The spectroscopic follow-up for the PARSEC program;
- The Birthrate program a.k.a. our attempt to constrain the sub-stellar IMF and formation history;
- The quest for outlier benchmark systems with *Gaia* primaries.**


Introduction

The wide range of parameters covered is reflected by the NIR colours and spectra.




Introduction

The wide range of parameters covered is reflected by the NIR colours and spectra.




Benchmark systems

A direct way to overcome this challenge is to identify ultra-cool dwarfs (UCDs) whose physical properties can be inferred indirectly – so-called “benchmark systems” (e.g. Pinfield et al. 2006).



A number of atomic (KI and NaI) and molecular (FeH, VO, TiO, CaH) features have been shown to be sensitive to surface gravity and metallicity, but **the current calibrations suffer from limited sample size.**

Lepine, Rich & Shara (ApJ, 2007)



Benchmark systems

UCDs as wide companions to stars or stellar remnants of various type are a particularly crucial source of benchmarks, for which common age and compositional constraints can be determined from studies of the primaries.

Wide companions can be identified via common proper motion (e.g. Gomes et al., MNRAS, 2013; Burningham et al., MNRAS, 2013; Deacon et al., ApJ, 2014) or common radial velocity (cf. Dithal et al., AJ, 2012).

To date 98 >M7 dwarfs in 92 multiple systems

0.33 % of main sequence stars should host L dwarf companions

BD+01 2920B



153 arcsec

BD+01 2920 A

VISTA J band

BD+01 2920 AB

BD+01 2920 A

G1V

$D = 17.2 \pm 0.2$ pc

$V_r = 19.6 \pm 0.3$ km s⁻¹

Space motion UVW= 22, 15, 39 → thin disk

$T_{\text{eff}} = 5750 \pm 100$ K

$\log g = 4.45 \pm 0.05$ dex

Mass = $0.87 \pm 0.07 M_{\odot}$

$[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.38 \pm 0.06$ dex

Age = 2.3–14.4 Gyr

$v \sin(i) = 1\text{--}2$ km s⁻¹

Low-activity star

BD+01 2920 AB

D. J. Pinfield et al. (MNRAS, 2012)

BD+01 2920 B

T8p

$\log L/L_{\odot} = -5.83 \pm 0.05$


Mass = 20–50 M_{Jup}

Radius = 0.80–0.99 R_{Jup}

$\log g = 4.68\text{--}5.30$ dex

$T_{\text{eff}} = 680 \pm 55$ K

Discrepancy with model atmospheres in both NIR and MIR!



Ross 458 ABC

Ross 458AB

M0.5 + M7

Age ≤ 1 Gyr

$D = 11.4 \pm 0.2$ pc

$[Fe/H] = 0.20-0.31$ dex

Member of the Hyades?

Ross 458AB

Ross 458 ABC

Burningham et al. (MNRAS, 2011)


Ross 458C

T8.5

$T_{\text{eff}} = 695 \pm 60 \text{ K}$


$\log g = 4.0\text{--}4.7 \text{ dex}$

Discrepancy with model atmospheres in both NIR and MIR!




Benchmark systems

Burningham et al. (MNRAS, 2013)





Pinfield et al. (MNRAS, 2012)



Models fail to reproduce properly the NIR and MIR colours of benchmark systems!



Benchmark systems

A. Day-Jones (UHRA, 2009)



Benchmark systems

A. Day-Jones (UHRA, 2009)




Vast regions of the parameter space are unexplored/under-sampled!

Gaia

600,000 stars out to ~ 100 pc from *Gaia*
+
0.33% L dwarf companions fraction
=
more than 2000 benchmarks!

Combining *Gaia* capabilities (form primaries) with UKIDSS/VISTA/SDSS survey depth (for the companions), we can pre-select sizeable sub-samples with extreme (outlier) physical properties, that will provide a complete test of the spectral sensitivities across a broad parameter-space.



UCD companions selection



We have begun a programme to identify outlier benchmark systems with *Gaia* primaries, with a focus on metal-rich and metal-poor systems.

Potential UCD companions are **all the UCDs from the literature + UCD candidates selected cross-matching UKIDSS LAS + SDSS and UKIDSS GCS.**

The initial selection is VERY conservative. We select all the objects with typical colours of known L/T dwarfs from the literature:

$$Y - J > 0.85$$

and

$$J - H > 0.50$$

and

$$\text{SDSS } z - J > 2.1 \text{ (1.667 for GCS } z)$$


These criteria return a total of **~83000 potential UCD companions.**

Primaries selection

We have constructed a sample of outlier *Gaia* primaries with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] < -0.3$ or $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] > 0.3$ dex using:



- published catalogues from *Vizier* (e.g. RAVE, Kordopatis et al. 2013; N2K, Ammons et al. 2006);
- the LAMOST DR2 (Yuan et al. 2015);
- the NJCM catalogue (Cook et al., submitted), with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$ estimated using the Neves et al. (2012) calibration.

The final list includes **~1.6 million FGKM stars**.




Benchmark system candidates selection

Our candidate benchmark systems were selected using primary-secondary separation limits of < 3 arcminutes. We employ distance constraints for the primaries, to apply a colour-magnitude test for the UCD companions.





Benchmark system candidates selection

Our candidate benchmark systems were selected using primary-secondary separation limits of < 3 arcminutes. We employ distance constraints for the primaries, to apply a colour-magnitude test for the UCD companions.



Benchmark system candidates selection

We do not expect to be dominated by contamination.





Current & future work



Statistical assessment of companionship via several criteria:

- Primary – secondary projected separation;
- CPM for high-PM systems (i.e. total PM ≥ 50 mas yr⁻¹);
- CRV for low-PM systems (down to $J \sim 18$, see e.g. Marocco et al. 2015);
- Unambiguous property constraints between candidate pairings.

Awarded 40h on GTC/OSIRIS + 8 nights on Mercator/HERMES + 4 nights on WHT/LIRIS over the next 2 semesters via CCI-ITP!

Summary

- The T_{eff} sequence gets shallower at the M-L transition and flattens at the L-T transition: effect of dust.
- There seems to be an excess of L-T transition objects wrt late-T objects: different IMFs? Varying BF? Wrong cooling tracks?
- Dust clouds are important and can explain the peculiar spectra of extremely red L/T dwarfs.
- Outlier benchmark systems are needed to test/improve atmospheric models and retrieval methods.

Thank you!